(not to be destroyed)

Previous references.

M. S. File 23

1909

(S+a)

File No. 172-09

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

S+a. 172-09.
S+A-189. 20-1, 1a, 4-6+6
S+A. 27-24-1+6
" 7-40 (1-2)
SP9-52 (1-2)

(36)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B. for January 1910

SUBJECT.

Directing that the sum of Rs 15-6-0 be continued to Venkatanarasammani widow of Narasimwa Naik, who if she really desires to adopt, should make a separate apply in conformity with the standing orders.

1. From Venkata narasammani. Petn. D 26/7/09. Prays that she may be permitted to adopt her husband's brother's son — Subbappa Naik and that her share of pension withheld since Oct last by Ramalingappa Naik may kindly be caused to be paid to her.

2. To G. I. C. State Huzur Treasury. Il. No. 1642 of 13/9/09. Forwards the petition for report

3. From G. I. C. S. H. No 15 D. 22/9/09. Submits his report with recor

4. To ~~From~~ G. I. C State Huzur Treasury. No. 2238. D 5th Nov 09. Calls a report on the question of the contemplated adoption by the petitioner.

5. From the above. No. 18 D. 9th Nov. 09. Submits a report as called for.

~~G. R. S. 58~~

6. To the G. I. C of State Huzur Treasury. Comptroller; Venkata narasammani. No Il. 3372-4 of 15/1/10 Directs that the sum of Rs 15-6-0 be continued to the petitioner who

If one really desires to adopt should make a separate appln. in conformity with the standing orders

G.R.S. 11] 1909.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S & A)

NOTES.

File No. 172-09

FILE HEADING.

Gummanaikanpalya Pallyar Family Pensions.

From

Mrs: Venkatānarasammam

No. Petn Dated 26-7-09 Received 21-8-09

Diary No. 901 | Enclos. - Spare Copies. - | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that she may be permitted to adopt her husband's brother's son - Subbappa naik & that her share of pension withheld since October last by Ramalingappa naik may kindly be caused to be paid to her

NOTES AND ORDERS.

N & S

Ramalingappa naik is the head of the family & is in receipt of a pension of Rs 572-0-4 p.m. The petitioner is the widow of one Narainswami naik who is the son of Subbappa naik - After the death of Subbappa naik the monthly allowance of Rs 76/14/0

NOTES—First sheet.

GPP—30,000—20-3-06.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SOH File No. 172 of 1909

Serial No.

Rs 76-14-0 p.m. drawn by him was divided among his brothers of whom her husband was one. The petr. states that owing to certain family disputes ~~on account of her adopting~~ concerning her adoption of one of her husband's brother's son, the head member — Ramalingappa Naik has ceased paying her the allowance due to her since October last.

On her representation to the State Huzur Treasury Officer, she was told that an order ~~be~~ will be issued when she should present herself for enquiry.

2 ~~She therefore prays~~. As 3 or 4 months have elapsed since she was told so by the State Huzur Treasury Officer, she prays 1) that her case be favorably considered by Govt 2) that she may be permitted to adopt the boy whom she had selected & 3) that her share of pension withheld since October last may be ordered to be paid to her. Draft forwarding the petition to the O. I. C. State Huzur Treasury for report is submitted for orders.

3-9-09

3 Apparently her allowance has been stopped because she has not adopted yet as directed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

by her husband. Even if she did not adopt she would still be entitled to get a share for her maintenance. The State Urgent Treasury officer seems to be holding an enquiry and may take some time for decision. In the meanwhile the petitioner ought not to starve. The petition therefore may be forwarded to the S. U. Trs officer for early report and with a request that he may direct the continuance of the payment to the petr pending the decision of the case.

4 Please put up a draft accordingly

4-9-9

5 May the draft already put up be issued.

6-9-9

6 Issue as slightly altered ordered. (There is nothing to show that a pension is being paid to petr's mother under orders of Govt; hence the words "if any.")

7-9-9

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S H A File No. 172 of 1909

4

Serial No.

PA 7 To O. I. C. State Huzur Treasury, H 1642 of 13-9-09. Forward the petition for report.

1B 8 From O. I. C. State Huzur Treasury, No 15 of 22-9-09. Submits his report with the records.

9 Submtd for orders. Kind attention is invited

U

To G.O. No 1308/140 of 21-5-90 & State Huzur Treasury Officer's letter No 8 of 20-9-89 to Govt, as regards the adoption, succession & division questions of this family.

Q

4-X-09 It is not clear what kind of enquiry the State Huzur Tres officer has made in this case. The records sent with his report contain mostly petitions from the different members of the family which are valueless as data on which to base any decision upon. Ramalingappa naik the head pensioner seems to have presented himself before the S.H.T officer but it is not known if any statement was taken from him. May the S.H.T officer be asked to send up the records of any enquiry he may have held, besides the papers already sent?

P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sta File No. 172 of 1909

55

Serial No.

11 As regards the question of maintenance to the petitioner, it is a point for orders whether it may has to lie over till the matter of adoption is decided. Ramalingappa's offer to pay her Rs 10 seems to be fair and it seems it may be approved, on condition that the ~~matter~~ order is liable to be reconsidered after the question of adoption is settled.

[illegible] 8/10/09.

12 The State Huzur Trny Officer has not reported fully on the question of adoption. In her petition of 26-7-09 Venkatanarasamma states that she intends adopting a boy named Subbappa Naill who is a nephew of her husband. The T.O. however states that the petitioner desires to adopt one of her brother's sons & that she ~~made known this intention of hers then~~ said so when she appeared before him. Whether she sh^d be permitted to adopt or not is the first question to be considered. In view of the policy enunciate

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SJA File No. 172 of 1909

Serial No.

in G.O. of 29-10-87 it does not appear that he request to adopt a boy can be refused. If the adoption proposed by her is unobjectionable it has to be allowed & the share of the family pension drawn by her husband continued to her adopted son. Please put up a draft asking the T.O. to report fully on the question of adoption. ~~The boy chosen by the petitioner for adoption may be~~. His attention may be drawn to the irreconcilable statements regarding the proposed adoption as made in the petition & as stated to have been made before him by the petitioner. He has also to be asked to give his opinion as to whether the proposed adoption is deserving of being recognised or not.

[signature] 16-10-9

Draft - Submitted
18-10-09
[signature] 27/10

[signature]
[signature] 28/10/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 172 of 1909

Serial No.

2 To the Officer in charge of the State Higher Treasury No 2238 dated the 5th Nov 09. Calls for a report on the question of the contemplated adoption by the petitioner

3 From the same No 8 & 9th Nov. 09 Submit a report as called for

The question of granting a separate stipend to the petitioner is interdependent on the question of adoption. The points for consideration are whether there are proper grounds for adoption and whether the adoption under the circumstances of the case under disposal may be recognized by law

The Govt order of 1884 while allowing a widow of a deceased pollegar in receipt of a pension from Govt the privilege of adoption, lays down that for the adoption to be valid the assent

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

of the Govt should have been obtained and the boy adopted should be the nearest of kin to the adoptive father. The order does not however say whether such a widow can exercise such a right in her own behalf i.e. whether she has inherent power in this behalf. But under the Hindu law (Mitakshara) as followed in Southern India, a woman has no inherent right to make an adoption to her deceased husband. She can do so only with her husband's authority. In this case, though the husband of the petitioner died some 3 years ago, it is only just now the Govt is moved for the exercise of such a privilege and though this is based on the deceased husband's authority, the latter is said to have been conveyed orally to the petitioner at the time of the death of her husband. ~~But there is no corroborated~~

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SJA File No. 172 of 1909

Serial No.

There are two points for orders
(1) grant of maintenance to petit
(2) Sanction for her adopting the boy of her husband's elder brother Bangari Naik.

The question of maintenance depends somewhat upon that of adoption and may not perhaps have to be decided at all if the proposed adoption is sanctioned.

As regards adoption among the Poligar families, the only rules that have been laid down so far are contained in the printed order dated 29th Oct 1887. They, however, are hardly exhaustive and do not touch on all the matters connected with the important question of adoption. ~~The proposed adoption.~~

With regard to this particular case, it is extremely difficult to find out whether the widow's intention is bona fide and whether she is really actuated with the desire to spiritually benefit her husband or is induced by a motive to thwart her husband's ~~the~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 172 of 1909.

10

Serial No.

plans of the head pensioner & others that she now wants to adopt. Then again there is the question whether her husband did really give her authority to adopt. ~~The~~ Govt. therefore may sanction the adoption conditional on its being unobjectionable under the Hindu Law.

In this connection, the State Huzur Treasury Officer suggests for consideration, "whether and to what extent in the interests of the main branches ..... adoption among the minor sharers should be encouraged." It is doubtless ~~seems it is~~ very desirable that pensions should not be frittered away in a number of minute sub-divisions, but considering the Hindu law of inheritance this is very difficult of achievement. In the present case the sub-divisions are so many — as many as thirty — that the object mentioned above cannot be gained by withholding consent to the one adoption in question.

[illegible] 9/12

[illegible] 13.12.9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—15-5-08

(Genl Secy)

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SDA File No. 172 of 1909

Serial No.

So far as can be seen there can be no objection to permitting the lady to adopt her husband's brother's son. Even without an adoption he would be her husband's heir.

It does not seem necessary for Govt. to enquire whether her claim of her husband's authority to adopt is a genuine one or whether the interest of the other members of the family will be affected favorably or adversely by the adoption. If the other members have sufficient reasons to do so they can get the adoption set aside in a civil court.

Prima facie there seems to be nothing to take objection to & the adoption may be sanctioned so far as Govt. is concerned.

The question of a separate allce may be left over for future decision after the action of the party in regard to the adoption is known.

Dewan) 1883
19/12/09

3654
21.12.09 [Claim justice, humanity, and the interests of peace in the family wd point to the desirability of settling the question of allowance first & let that of adoption be over

till

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S&A File No. 172 of 1909-10

Serial No.

till it is again pressed upon fort with a clear indication of the boy to be adopted & of his eligibility.

T 6/1/10

The sum of Rs 15-6-0 which the deceased Narainswamy Nack was getting should be continued to his widow the P.G.T. Venkatanarasammanni - and she should be told that if she really desires to adopt she should apply separately specifying the name & particulars of the boy to be adopted - Unless her choice be in conformity with the standing orders on the subject the adoption will not be sanctioned.

[signature]

Br. tt
[signature]
3/1/10

Draft [signature] 3-1-10

Draft Subd
Hon.
4/1/1910 [signature]

Issue [signature] 5/1/10

To the officer in charge of St. Hnz. Tr.
" " Comptroller
" " Venkatanarasamma

No. H 3372-4 dt 15-1-10

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S. & A. File No. 172 of 1909

Serial No.	
6	Directing that the sum of Rs. 15 be continued to the petitioner, if she really desires to adopt, should make a separate application in conformity with the standing orders

Note.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

To the Financial Branch –

[illegible]

3/11/8

No.	689
Name of Petitioner,	Venkatanara-samma
Village,	Gollahalli
Taluk,	Bagepalli
District,	Kolar
Date of Arzi,	26.7.09
Date of Receipt,	1.8.09
Date of Disposal,	
No. of Enclosure,	nil

ABSTRACT

The petitioner is widow of Pallegar nara swami naik one of the members of the Gumma naikanahalli Pallegar family. She states that her husband's pension which was being drawn by her free recently has been withheld by one of

No 689

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1909 [illegible] 26 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 5.1 - 5.3 [illegible]

[illegible] 6 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7.6.14 - [illegible]

[illegible]

3. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 15.6 - [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 15.6 - [illegible]

[illegible]

4. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

5 [illegible]

6 [illegible]

7 [illegible]

[illegible]

5

8 [illegible] 3.4 [illegible]

[illegible]

9 [illegible]

[illegible]

6

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 172/09

Serial No 2/1A

Diary No. of letter replied to 901

No. 36 1642

dy 13-9-09

General and Rev. Secretariat

(8TA)

the 190

To

The Officer in charge,
State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

Date of issue 13 Septr 09

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a petition of the 26-7-09 from Venkatanarasamma of Gummanaikanpalya Pallegar Family, I am directed to request you to be so good as to submit a ~~forward the records of enquiry held on the matter with your~~ report on the prayers of the petitioner at an early date.

I have etc

[illegible] 9-9-09

For Secretary to Govt.

over.

In the meanwhile

2. I am to request you to be so good as ~~to direct the continuance of payment of the~~ pension if any usually paid to petr is continued to ~~the petitioner~~ pending the decision of Govt in this matter

SUBJECT.

[illegible] 6-9-9

[illegible] 2-9-09

[illegible]

[illegible]

IV 4 20/9

D1322 Pol P 7

State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, 22nd September
1909.

(2) (1B)

172-09

From,

K. Krishna Iyer Esq
Khazana Brikshi,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

To,

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq
B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depart-
-ments Bangalore.

No. 15.
Enclos. 13.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Fl 1642 / S+A 172-09-2 dated 13th Sep: 1909, enclosing the petition (dated 26.7.1909) of Venkata Narsama, widow of the late Narain-swami Naik, Pollegar of Gummanaikanpalya Family, I have the honor to submit the following report:—

Sl. 2

2 One Ramalingappa Naik

is the Barawardar of this Family. He draws a pension of Rs 572-04 a month. (Vide, Government Proceedings No. 5464-585 dated 14. 10. 1889). There are about 5 or 6 Sub-Sharers to whom the Barawardar has been distributing their share of the pension.

To facilitate reference, the following rough Genealogical table is herewith appended.

Bhangar Naik (7 Sons)

1 Narsimha Naik
- Ramalingappa Naik (Barawardar)

2 Thimappa Naik

3 Subbappa Naik (2 wives)
- By 1st wife: 1 Daughter, 3 Sons.
- By 2d wife Panpama.
  1. Narainsami Naik (died 25-3-1906) Wife Venkata Narsama (Petitioner)
  2. Rangappa Naik.

4 -

5 -

6 -

7

Narainswami Naik, the husband of the present Petitioner, and his

his brother Rangappa Naik were both living together and were being paid Rs 30-12-0 a month ie at Rs 15-6-0 each.

5. Narainswami Naik died issueless on the 25th March 1906, leaving behind him his widow Venkata Narsamma the Petitioner, who after so long remaining silent, now comes forward with the story that her husband on his death bed had asked her to adopt a boy and to live separately with her husband's share of the pension Viz Rs 15-6-0, which she now prays may be ordered to be paid to her as she has recently been living separately from her husband's brother Rangappa Naik. She also prays for

for permission to adopt a son in accordance with her husband's wishes.

I beg to submit that Venkata Narsamma's statements are not very convincing. In the first place, if her husband had really expressed any wishes for an adoption, ~~that~~ fact would have been immediately communicated to this office. There is nothing on record in this office.

In the next place, she would not have remained quiet so long without taking steps towards the carrying out of her husband's dying wishes.

The real fact appears to me to be that now she has left the protection of her husband's brother Rangappa Naik and her mother-in-law Pampama and living separately (probably with her own brothers and relations

relations) She is anxious to get her husbands' share in full, viz, the Rs 15-6-0. Also that she is being influenced by her own relations to adopt a boy from among her own near relations with the hope that her share of the pension should be continued in that of her own family.

9 I would also here invite attention to a letter dated 28 January 1909 received from Dampama, the mother of both Narainswami Naik (the Petitioner's husband) and Rangappa Naik. She does not mention any dying wish on the part of her son Narainswami Naik and states that as Venkata Narsama has left her protection under the evil advice of her relations, she can only be entitled to some maintenance.

10 The Barawar or Ramalingappa Naik, who was called upon to

to explain the allegations of the Petitioner, stated that his nephews Narainswami Naik (husband of the Petitioner) and Rangappa Naik were living together and that he was paying them every month Rs 30-12-0. But that now as the Petitioner is living separately, he is willing to pay her Rs 7-0-0 a month with some paddy and other food grains and also allow her a free house to live in. He contends that she having no children, the proposals made by him are ample. He further states that Rangappa Naik (her husband's brother) has a large family including his old mother to support and it may therefore not be proper to limit him now to his Rs 15-6-0, in which case the old mother may have to suffer.

These

11 These proposals appear to me to be very reasonable. But it may perhaps be advisable in order not to give room for any future friction between the parties, not to accept Ramalingappa Naik's offer of a free house and grant of food stuffs but to convert its value in money and fix a lump sum of say Rs 10/- to be paid to her every month in lieu of the Rs 15-6-0 which was her husband's share in the family pension. Ten Rupees a month is a sufficient provision for a widow without any children

12 Government themselves have on various occasions revised and fixed the amounts payable to each separate Branch of the family in accordance with their particular circumstances and needs.

I issued a notice on the 19 January

January 1909, both to Ramalingappa Naik and Venkata Narsama to appear before me on the 30th Idem. Only Ramalingappa Naik appeared before me on that occasion.

Venkata Narsama wrote letters expressing her inability to appear on the date fixed. She later on appeared with her brother who saw me and said that he wanted Venkata Narsama to adopt a boy from among their own children so that her pension may be continued in their own family and thus benefit them.

I then called upon Venkata Narsama to explain various important points with reference to her petition. Vide, this Office No. 17 dated 17th March 1909.

To this, no reply was received, although a reminder was issued to her on 9th July 1909.

Her

31.

1. Petition dated 20-11-08 from Venkata Narsama (Petitioner).
2. Notice dated 5-12-09 from the Khazana Bukshi to Ramlingapa Naik.
3. Petition of 18-12-08 from Ramlingapa Naik
4. do of December 08 from Venkat Narsama.
5. Notice of 19-1-09 from the Khazana Bukshi to the parties.
6. Petition of 28-1-09 from Pampana.
7. do of 31-1-09 from Venkata Narsama
8. do of 5-2-09 from do
9. Notice of 17-3-09 from the Khazana Bukshi to Ramalingapa Naik.
10. do do to Venkat Narsama
11. Reply dated 21-4-09 from Ramalingapa Naik.
12. Reminder no 2 of 9 July 09 to Venkata Narsama from the Khazana Bukshi.
13. Petition dated 12 Sept. 09 from Venkata Narsama

15

Her last petition to me is dated 12 September 1909.

16 I beg to submit herewith for favour of perusal and return the entire correspondence connected with Venkata Narsama's petition as per margin and I would invite your particular attention to Venkata Narsama's first petition dated 20 November 1908, Pampanna's and Ramalingappa Naik's petitions dated 28 January and 21 April 1909 respectively.

17 I also return herewith the original petition of Venkata Narsama dated 26 July 1909, forwarded to me with your letter quoted above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Khazana Bukshi,
State Huzur Treasury

29/8

G.R.S. 26]

16

File No. 172/09

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 901 X

No. H 2238

General and Rev. Secretariat
(SM)
the 190

Date of issue 5 Nov 09

Signed by [illegible]

To

5 Nov 09

The Officer in charge,
State Hyzur Treasury
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 15 of the 22-9-09 ~~reporting on the petition of~~ regarding the request Venkatanarasammani of Gummanaikanpalya Pallegar Family, I am directed to request you to be so good as to ~~submit Govt with a full~~ report on the question of the ~~petitioner's~~ contemplated adoption by the petr.

Your attention is also invited to the irreconcilable statements regarding the proposed adoption

for the continuance when of the share of pension allowed to her husband

over.

SUBJECT.

adoption as made in the petition and as stated to have been made before ~~him~~ you by the petitioner & also to submit ~~his~~ your opinion as to whether the proposed adoption is deserving of being recognised or not.

In her petition she stated that she intended adoption her husband's nephew & before you she appears to have proposed the adoption of another boy.

The ~~[illegible]~~ enclosures received with your letter under reference together with the original petition is returned herewith for reference.

I have etc

[illegible] 28-10-09

For Secretary to Govt.

List of enclosures,

[illegible] 18-X-09

[illegible] 27/10.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPL—20,000-19-12—04.

D/1882 18 P&P & Chelly RP 25/11/09

11 mule 10-11-

172-09

State Huzur Treasury (5)

Bangalore, 8th November 1909

(3)

From, K. Krishna Iyer Esquire
Khazana Bukshi,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

No. 18.

Enclo: 14.

To, K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esqr
BA, BL,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
No. Fl 2238 dated 5 November
S&A 172-09-4
1909, calling upon me to reconcile
certain contradictory statements
with reference to the question
of an adoption proposed by
the Petitioner Venkat Narsamma,
I have the honor to state that
it

it was for the very first time in her petition dated 26th July 1909 addressed to the Dewan that she indicated her husbands' nephew as the boy whom she wished to adopt. In all her previous petitions she merely prayed for a general permission to adopt without mentioning any particular individual.

In her first petition dated 20th November 1908 to my address she stated that she wished to adopt a boy from among her own relations. Thus the wish to adopt her husbands' nephew appears to be an afterthought upon being told that, if at all, she would only be allowed to adopt from among her husbands' nearest kin.

Government Proceedings Camp No. 1678 dated 29th October 1887 lays down the principle upon

which

which such adoptions should be recognized for the purpose of succession to Pollegar pensions, and it is for the Government to decide whether and to what extent in the interests of the main branches themselves of the Pollegar stipendiaries, adoption among the minor sharers should be encouraged

The enclosures received with your letter under reply are herewith resubmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Khazana Bykshi,
State Huzur Treasury

21

G.R.S.—28]

S & A File No. 172-09
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 1882

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(S & A)

, the 190

Date of issue 15-1-1910

Signed by

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

READ—

Petition dated the 26th July 1909 from Venkatanarasamma, widow of Narainaswami Naik, a member of the Gummanaikanpalya Pallegar family praying for the continuance to her of the share of pension allowed to her husband and permission to adopt her husband's brother's son

(2) Correspondence ending

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

over

SUBJECT

GPB—10,000—10-4-06

with letter No: 18 dated
the 8th November 1909
from the Officer in charge
of the State Huzur Treasury
~~stating that the wish~~ repor submitting a report on the prayers contained in the above petition
of ~~the petitioner~~ to adopt
her husband's nephew
appears to be an after
thought

Order thereon: No H3372-4 / S07172-09-4 } 15-1-1910

~~Narainaswami Naik,~~
~~the husband of the petitioner~~
~~was getting~~

The Govt: are pleased to direct that the Sum of
Rs 15-6-0 which the deceased
Narainaswami Naik, ~~son~~
of ~~Subbappa Naik~~, was
getting, ~~should~~ be continued
to his widow the petitioner
Venkatanarasamma ~~and~~
2 ~~She should be told~~ that Venkatanarasamma is informed
if she really desires to
adopt she should
apply separately specifying
the

23

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

the name and particulars of the boy to be adopted. Unless her choice be in conformity with the standing orders on the subject the adoption will not be sanctioned.

Mmh 5/1/10
for Secy to Govt

To The Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury with records
" Comptroller to the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja Venkatamanasamma the Ret

Hvo
4.1.1910

18/1

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05.